UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,069	0/082,069 02/26/2002 Dwight A. Merriman		11032/3040	8044
23838 KENYON & K	7590 04/06/200 ENVONTTP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE			CHAMPAGNE, DONALD	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, =	•	3622	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/082,069	MERRIMAN ET AL:			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Donald L. Champagne	3622			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status			·			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Al</u>	uaust 2006				
		action is non-final.				
3)						
-,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are rejected.	•				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
•—	ion Papers					
	·					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		d Asilbooth - English			
اطرفا	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 February 2002 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
		animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) lnterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/082,069 Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. <u>Claims 1, 2, 5-11, 18-20, 23-29, 36-38, 41-47, 54 and 55</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Roth et al. (US006285987B1).
- 4. Roth et al. teaches (independent claims 1, 19, 37 and 55) a method, system and computer readable medium for online re-targeted advertisement selection, the method comprising:
 - (a) receiving feedback on prior activities of a user at an advertiser Web site (col. 4 lines 44-49);
 - (b) receiving a request to display advertising content to the user (col. 4 lines 58-61); and
 - (c) selecting, in response to the request, advertising content for display based upon the received feedback on prior activities of the user at the advertiser Web site (col. 2 lines 11-31 and 31-37).
- 5. Roth et al. teaches at the citations given above claims 7, 25 and 43.
- 6. Roth et al. teaches: claims 2, 20 and 38 (col. 5 lines 24-27); claims 5, 23 and 41 (col. 5 lines 20-23, where a HTML reference reads on "a tag"); claims 6, 24 and 42 (col. 19 lines 24-33,

Application/Control Number: 10/082,069

Art Unit: 3622

Toland").

where *ISP* **712** reads on "a proxy server"); claims 8, 26 and 44 (col. 8 lines 65-67); claims 9, 27 and 45 (col. 18 lines 46-48); claims 10, 11, 28, 29, 46 and 47 (col. 7 lines 34-38 and Fig. 3, elements 16A and 16B, and Fig. 4); and claims 18, 36 and 54 (front page, "article by

- 7. <u>Claims 3, 4, 12-17, 21, 22, 30-35, 39-40, and 48-53</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roth et al. (US006285987B1).
- 8. Roth et al. does not teach that: feedback is received by email or FTP message (claims 2, 4, 21, 22, 39 and 40); the re-targeted ad is a coupon when the user visited the web site but did not purchase the product (claims 12, 13, 30, 31, 48 and 49); the re-targeted ad is a reminder when the user made previous but not recent purchases (claims 14, 15, 32, 33, 50 and 51); and the re-targeted ad is best-customer thank you when the user made purchases at some minimum rate (claims 16, 17, 34, 35, 52 and 53). Roth et al. does teach acquiring data on frequency of site visit and purchases (col. 2 lines 37-41, col. 4 lines 11-15, col. 4 line 63 to col. 5 line 5 line 8, col. 8 lines 53-55 and 65-67, and col. 9 lines 57-58). Official notice is taken (MPEP § 2144.03) that these limitations were well known at the time of the instant invention. Both email and FTP data transfer was common, as were coupons to encourage purchase as well as customer reminders and thank you notes. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add these well-known limitations to the teachings of Roth et al.

Conclusion

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L Champagne whose telephone number is 571-272-6717. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:30 AM to 8 PM ET, Monday to Thursday. The examiner can also be contacted by e-mail at donald.champagne@uspto.gov, and informal fax communications (i.e., communications not to be made of record) may be sent directly to the examiner at 571-273-6717.
- 10. The examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on 571-272-6724. The fax phone number for all *formal* fax communications is 571-273-8300.
- 11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

Page 3

Art Unit: 3622

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

12. **ABANDONMENT** – If examiner cannot by telephone verify applicant's intent to continue prosecution, the application is subject to abandonment six months after mailing of the last Office action. The agent, attorney or applicant point of contact is responsible for assuring that the Office has their telephone number. Agents and attorneys may verify their registration information including telephone number at the Office's web site, www.uspto.gov. At the top of the home page, click on Site Index. Then click on Agent & Attorney Roster in the alphabetic list, and search for your registration by your name or number.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Donald L. Champagne Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3622

28 March 2007